

02-23-00

A



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No: 06005/35628A



PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.53

*Box Patent Application
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231*

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of

Inventor(s): Mark Nixon Terrence L. Blevins
 1503 Blackjack Drive 3801 Carmel Drive
 Round Rock, TX 78681 Round Rock, TX 78681

 Wilhelm K. Wojsznis
 17004 Hillside Drive
 Round Rock, TX 78681

Title: "Integrating Distributed Process Control System Functionality on a Single Computer"

1. Type of Application

- This is a new application for a
 - utility patent.
 - design patent.
- This is a continuation-in-part application of prior application no.

2. Application Papers Enclosed

- 1 Title Page
- 16 Pages of Specification (excluding Claims, Abstract, Drawings & Sequence Listing)
- 6 Page(s) of Claims
- 1 Page(s) of Abstract
- 2 Sheet(s) of Drawings (Figs. 1 to 3)
 - Formal
 - Informal

Page(s) of Sequence Listing

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this Patent Application Transmittal and the documents referred to as enclosed therewith are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on **February 22, 2000**, in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 utilizing the "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service of the United States Postal Service under Mailing Label No. EM 099 779 315 US.

Laura Frasher
Laura Frasher

3. Declaration or Oath

- Enclosed
- Executed by (check all applicable boxes)
- Inventor(s)
- Legal representative of inventor(s)
(37 CFR 1.42 or 1.43)
- Joint inventor or person showing a proprietary interest on behalf
of inventor who refused to sign or cannot be reached
- The petition required by 37 CFR 1.47 and the statement
required by 37 CFR 1.47 are enclosed. See Item 5D below
for fee.
- Not enclosed - the undersigned attorney or agent is authorized to file this
application on behalf of the applicant(s). An executed declaration will
follow.

4. Additional Papers Enclosed

- Preliminary Amendment
- Information Disclosure Statement
- Declaration of Biological Deposit
- Computer readable copy of sequence listing containing nucleotide and/or
amino acid sequence
- Microfiche computer program
- Verified statement(s) claiming small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27
- Associate Power of Attorney
- Verified translation of a non-English patent application
- An assignment of the invention
- Return receipt postcard
- Other

5. Priority Applications Under 35 USC 119

Certified copies of applications from which priority under 35 USC 119 is claimed are listed below and

- are attached.
- will follow.

COUNTRY	APPLICATION NO.	FILED

6. Filing Fee Calculation (37 CFR 1.16)

A. Utility Application

CLAIMS AS FILED - INCLUDING PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT (IF ANY)						
	SMALL ENTITY			OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY		
	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE	FEES	RATE	FEES
BASIC FEE				\$345.00		\$690.00
TOTAL	21 - 20	= 1	X 9 =	\$	X 18 =	\$18.00
INDEP.	3 - 3	= 0	X 39 =	\$	X 78 =	\$0
<input type="checkbox"/> First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim			+ 130 =	\$	+ 260 =	\$
Filing Fee:				\$	OR	\$708.00

B. Design Application (\$155.00/\$310.00) Filing Fee: \$ _____

C. Plant Application (\$240.00/\$480.00) Filing Fee: \$ _____

D. Other Fees

Recording Assignment [Fee -- \$40.00 per assignment] \$ _____

Petition fee for filing by other than all the inventors or person on behalf of the inventor where inventor refused to sign or cannot be reached [Fee -- \$130.00] \$ _____

Other \$ _____

Total Fees Enclosed \$708.00

7. Method of Payment of Fees

- Enclosed check in the amount of: \$708.00
- Charge Deposit Account No. 13-2855 in the amount of: \$_____
A copy of this Transmittal is enclosed.
- Not enclosed

8. Deposit Account and Refund Authorization

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the amount enclosed or any additional fees which may be required during the pendency of this application under 37 CFR 1.16 or 37 CFR 1.17 or under other applicable rules (except payment of issue fees), to Deposit Account No. 13-2855. A copy of this Transmittal is enclosed.

Please refund any overpayment to Marshall, O'Toole, Gerstein, Murray & Borun at the address below.

Please direct all future communications to Roger A. Heppermann, at the address below.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL, O'TOOLE, GERSTEIN,
MURRAY & BORUN
6300 Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6402
(312) 474-6300
(312) 474-0448 (Telefacsimile)

By:


Roger A. Heppermann
Reg. No: 37,641

February 22, 2000

JOINT INVENTORS

"EXPRESS MAIL" mailing label No.
099 779 315 US.
Date of Deposit: February 22, 2000
I hereby certify that this paper (or fee) is
being deposited with the United States Postal
Service "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO
ADDRESSEE" service under 37 CFR §1.10 on
the date indicated above and is addressed to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents,
Washington, D.C. 20231

Laura Frasher
Laura Frasher

**APPLICATION FOR
UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT**

S P E C I F I C A T I O N

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Be it known that we, **Mark Nixon**, a citizen of Canada, residing at 1503 Blackjack Drive, in the City of Round Rock and State of Texas, **Terrence L. Blevins**, a citizen of the United States, residing at 3801 Carmel Drive, in the City of Round Rock and State of Texas and **Wilhelm K. Wojsznis**, a citizen of the United States, residing at 17004 Hillside Drive, in the City of Round Rock and State of Texas, have invented a new and useful "Integrating Distributed Process Control System Functionality on a Single Computer", of which the following is a specification.

INTEGRATING DISTRIBUTED PROCESS CONTROL SYSTEM FUNCTIONALITY ON A SINGLE COMPUTER

RELATED APPLICATION

This is a regular filed application based on provisional application Serial

- 5 Number 60/132,780, filed May 6, 1999 entitled "Integrating Distributed Process Control System Functionality on a Single Computer."

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to process control systems and, more particularly, to a system that integrates process control functionality associated with a distributed process control system on a single computer.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATED ART

Distributed process control systems, like those used in chemical, petroleum or other processes, typically include one or more process controllers communicatively coupled to one or more field devices via analog, digital or combined analog/digital buses. The field devices, which may be, for example, valves, valve positioners, switches and transmitters (e.g., temperature, pressure and flow rate sensors), are located within the process environment and perform process functions such as opening or closing valves, measuring process parameters, etc.

Smart field devices, such as the field devices conforming to the well-known Fieldbus protocol may also perform control calculations, alarming functions, and other control functions typically implemented within the controller. The process controllers, which are also typically located within the plant environment, receive signals indicative of process measurements made by the field devices and/or other information pertaining to the field devices and execute a controller application that runs, for example, different control modules which make process control decisions, generate control signals based on the received information and coordinate with the control modules or blocks being performed in the field devices, such as Fieldbus field devices. The control modules in the controller send the control signals over the communication lines to the field devices to thereby control the operation of the process.

- Information from the field devices and the controller is usually made available over a data highway to one or more other hardware devices, such as operator workstations, personal computers, data historians, report generators, centralized databases, etc. typically placed in control rooms or other locations away
- 5 from the harsher plant environment. These hardware devices run applications that may, for example, enable an operator to perform functions with respect to the process, such as changing settings of the process control routine, modifying the operation of the control modules within the controller or the field devices, viewing the current state of the process, simulating the operation of the process for the
- 10 purpose of training personnel or testing the process control software, keeping and updating a configuration database, etc.

For example, the DeltaV™ control system, sold by Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc. includes multiple applications stored within and executed by different devices located at diverse places within a process. A configuration application, which

15 resides in one or more operator workstations, enables users to create or change process control modules and download these process control modules via a data highway to a dedicated distributed controller. The configuration application may also allow a designer to create or change user interfaces which are used by a viewing application to display data to a user and to enable a user to change settings, such as set

20 points, within the process control routine. A dedicated controller and, in some cases, field devices, store and execute a controller application that runs the control modules assigned and downloaded thereto to implement actual process control. Viewing applications, which may be run on one or more operator workstations, receive data from the controller application via the data highway and display this data to process

25 control system designers, operators, or users using the user interfaces, which may provide any of a number of different views, such as an operator's view, an engineer's view, a technician's view, etc. A data historian application is typically stored in and executed by a data historian device that collects and stores some or all of the data provided across the data highway while a configuration database application may run

30 in a still further computer device attached to the data highway to store the current

process control routine configuration and data associated therewith. Alternatively, the configuration database is stored in the same workstation as the configuration application.

Simulation applications, such as the Mimic application sold by Don H.

- 5 Munger Company in St. Louis, Missouri, or the HYSYS application manufactured and sold by Hyprotech in Calgary Canada may be run on a personal computer attached to the data highway. These or other interface applications communicate with the controller application and the viewing application via the data highway to enable testing of the control modules stored in the controller or the user interfaces used by
- 10 the viewing applications run in the workstations. These simulation applications also enable training and system checkout to be performed. In some cases, the HYSYS application, which is a high fidelity process simulation product, has been integrated into the same computer as the Mimic application to simulate the exact timing and response of a process control design for the checkout of that design. Typically, these
- 15 simulation applications communicate with the controller applications within the controller or the field devices using a standard interface, such as the OPC interface or the PI interface, which are well-known. Alternatively, simulation has been performed manually, wherein an operator or other user takes advantage of the simulate capabilities of input/output (I/O) function blocks within the control modules of the
- 20 controller or the field devices to manually set the value and status variables processed by the I/O blocks to, in turn, enable display information logic or control logic to be verified without actually controlling a field device or even having a field device connected to the controller. In either case, specific simulation modules may be created and stored in the controller or the field devices to interact with the actual
- 25 control modules by providing simulated process dynamics based on an output block target to the actual control modules.

- 30 In these systems, it is possible to verify that the information shown in the user displays produced by the viewing applications is being correctly communicated by the controller after designing, assigning and downloading the control modules to the controller or the field devices and using a simulation procedure to test the system.

- The advantage of using the complete system hardware for configuration checkout and operator training is that the physical connections to the controller and communications between the controller and workstation may be fully tested. Also, the performance, memory requirements, and loads introduced into the controller by configured modules
- 5 may be examined. Unfortunately, however, a hardware controller and/or smart field devices must be present, i.e., connected to the system, in all cases to enable checkout of the control logic or the viewing logic because the controller application and process control modules are created for execution within and are stored within the controller or a field device connected to the controller.
- 10 In many cases, however, equipment for a distributed process control system may not be purchased until after the plant and control designs are complete, which prevents or delays testing of the control modules within the controller and field devices and the user interfaces within the user workstations. Furthermore, after the equipment is purchased, it is often tied up in the actual installation and thus, is not
- 15 normally available for configuration checkout or training purposes. As a result, it is often necessary to purchase additional system hardware just to support plant design, configuration checkout, and operator training. While, in some cases, the cost of this additional hardware may be budgeted as spare parts, in many cases, the cost of this additional hardware cannot be justified by a plant to support operator training, plant
- 20 design and configuration checkout.
- Some process control systems reduce the amount of hardware required by designing the system so that the process control application runs in the same workstation as other software, such as the viewing application and the configuration database application. However, these systems are no longer truly distributed process
- 25 control systems because the process control software is located at an operator or engineer station away from the process environment, generally requiring process control communications to occur over longer distances and the running of many more or longer communication lines between the workstation and the I/O and field devices within the process environment. Furthermore, such a combined system does not
- 30 provide for simulation of the process control software within the workstation for

testing purposes and then downloading the process control software to a different dedicated controller or field device for execution during runtime.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

- The present invention is directed to the integration of distributed process control system functionality on a single computer, such as a single personal computer or laptop computer, to enable ease in developing and testing of the distributed process control system software and to enable simulation of the distributed process control system using that software.
- In one embodiment, an apparatus adapted to be used with a distributed process control system having a user workstation remotely located from a distributed controller that controls one or more field devices using control modules includes a computer having a memory and a processing unit. A configuration application is stored in the memory of the computer and is adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, with the configuration application also being capable of being executed on the user workstation to create the control modules for execution by the distributed controller. Moreover, a controller application is stored in the memory of the computer and is adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, with the controller application being further adapted to be executed on the distributed controller to implement one of the control modules during operation of the process control system. In this system, the configuration application is further adapted to create a first control module capable of being used by the distributed controller within the process control system when the configuration application is executed on the computer and the controller application is adapted to cause execution of the first control module within the computer to thereby simulate operation of the distributed process control system. The use of this system enables the simulation and testing of distributed process control system software and control modules without the use of the dedicated hardware, e.g., the distributed process controller and field devices, which will ultimately run that software.

In another embodiment, an apparatus adapted to be used in conjunction with a distributed process control system having a user workstation remotely located from a distributed controller that controls one or more field devices using control modules includes a computer having a memory and a processing unit and a display connected to the processing unit. A controller application is stored in the memory of the computer and is adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer. This controller application is also adapted to be executed on the distributed controller to implement a control module during operation of the process control system and is capable of communicating with a further controller that is of a different type than the distributed controller of the distributed process control system. A viewing application is stored in the memory of the computer and is adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer. This viewing application is also adapted to communicate with the controller application within the computer and to use the display to display to a user, information sent from the further controller.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of a typical process control network having different process control functions performed by different hardware devices;

Fig. 2 is a block diagram of a single computer that executes different distributed process control applications for the purpose configuration design, system checkout and simulation of process control activity in a distributed process control environment like that of Fig. 1; and

Fig. 3, is a block diagram of the computer of Fig. 2 communicatively coupled to a controller that executes a second controller application.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring now to Fig. 1, a typical known distributed process control system 10 includes one or more dedicated process controllers 12 each connected to one or more field devices 14 and 15 via input/output (I/O) modules 16 which may be, for example, Fieldbus interfaces. The controllers 12 are also coupled to one or more host or operator workstations 18 via a data highway 20 which may be, for example,

an Ethernet link. While the controllers 12, I/O modules 16 and field devices 14 and 15 are located down within and distributed throughout the harsh plant environment, the operator workstations 18 are usually located in control rooms or other less harsh environments easily assessable by controller personnel. Each of the controllers 12,

5 which may be by way of example, the DeltaV controller sold by Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc., stores and executes a controller application 23 that implements a control strategy using a number of different, independently executed, control modules 24. The control modules 24 may each be made up of what are commonly referred to as function blocks wherein each function block is a part or a subroutine

10 of an overall control routine and operates in conjunction with other function blocks (via communications called links) to implement process control loops within the process control system 10. As is well known, function blocks typically perform one of an input function, such as that associated with a transmitter, a sensor or other process parameter measurement device, a control function, such as that

15 associated with a control routine that performs PID, fuzzy logic, etc. control, or an output function which controls the operation of some device, such as a valve, to perform some physical function within the process control system 10. Of course hybrid and other types of function blocks exist. However, the control modules 24 could be designed using any desired control programming scheme including, for

20 example, sequential function block, ladder logic, etc. and are not limited to being designed using function block or any other particular programming technique.

In the system illustrated in Fig. 1, the field devices 15 connected to one of the controllers 12 are smart field devices, such as Fieldbus field devices, which include a processor and a memory. These devices store and execute the controller application 23 as well as modules 24, or sub-parts, such as function blocks, of one or more of the modules 24. The modules or parts of modules within the field devices 15 may be executed in conjunction with the execution of the modules within the controller 12 to implement process control as is known.

The host workstation 18 stores and executes a configuration application 25

30 that is used to create or change the process control modules 24 and to download

- these control modules via the data highway 20 to one of the controllers 12 and/or to field devices such as one of the field devices 15. The host workstation 18 may also store and execute a viewing application 26 that receives data from the controller 12 via the data highway 20 and that displays this information via a display mechanism
- 5 using predefined user interfaces 27 or views, typically created using the configuration application 25. In some cases, the viewing application 26 receives inputs, such as set point changes, from the user and provides these inputs to the controller application 23 within one or more of the controllers 12.
- A data historian 28 is connected to the data highway 20 and stores data in a
- 10 memory therein using any desired or known data historian software. However, the data historian could alternatively be in one or more of the workstations 18 if so desired. Furthermore, a configuration database 30 runs a configuration database application 32 that stores the current configuration of the process control system and data associated therewith.
- 15 A personal computer (PC) 34 may be connected to the data highway 20 and execute other applications, such as training, testing and simulation applications 36. Such applications typically interact with and receive data from the controllers 12 and the configuration database 30 related to the operation or set-up of the process control system. As indicated above, the process control modules 24 within the
- 20 controller 12 and the field devices 15 may be set in a simulation mode or state to provide predetermined or operator provided values back to the simulation software 36 to enable testing of the control modules 24 used by the controller applications 23 and the user interfaces 27 used by the viewing application 26. In some cases, one or both of the viewing application 26 and the configuration application 25 are stored
- 25 in and executed by the PC 34 to enable changes to be made to the process control modules 24 and/or to the user interfaces 27. However, it will be understood that, with the system of Fig. 1, the controller application 23 and the process control modules 24 are loaded into and executed by the dedicated controller 12 and/or the field devices 15 before the simulation application 36 can be used to test these
- 30 components.

Referring now to Fig. 2, a single computer 40 having a CPU 42, a memory 44 and a user display 46 stores and executes, in a coordinated manner, the distributed process control system software associated with the various different hardware of the control system of Fig. 1 and may be used to perform, for example,

5 simulation of the process control system for training, design and testing purposes. While the computer 40 may be connected to the data highway 20 of the system of Fig. 1, it can also operate as a stand-alone unit to provide, for example, simulation of the software components used in the system of Fig. 1. The computer 40 may be any type of computer, including a personal computer, a laptop computer, a

10 workstation, etc. having any type of display device, memories, CPU(s), etc. associated therewith and, therefore is typically cheaper and more available than the dedicated hardware components illustrated in Fig. 1.

In the embodiment of Fig. 2, the computer 40 stores the configuration application 25, the viewing application 26 and, if desired, one or both of the

15 simulation application 36 and the database configuration application 32 in the memory 44 and executes these applications as needed on the CPU 42. Importantly, the computer 40 also stores the controller application 23 for execution. In this case, the configuration application 25 can be used to design and create one or more process control modules 24 (to be eventually downloaded to a controller and/or

20 field devices for use in controlling a process), as well as to create user interfaces 27 (to be eventually used in an operator workstation 18 by a viewing application 26). However, before assigning and downloading any of the process control modules 24 to a controller or to field device or before assigning and downloading the user interfaces 27 to a workstation, these components are stored in or assigned to the

25 computer 40 for testing or simulation purposes.

After creating or otherwise receiving the control modules 24 and/or the user interfaces 27, the CPU 42 executes the controller application 23 and the associated control modules 24, executes the viewing application 26 and the associated user interfaces 27, and may execute the database configuration application 32 to, for example, simulate the operation of these components. Of course, the viewing

- application 26 and the database configuration application 32, if being used, are executed in conjunction with the controller application 23 on the CPU 42 in any desired coordinated manner, such as using a windows-type operating system or using any desired or standard time sharing protocol, such as those associated with
- 5 object oriented programming techniques.
- Because the controller application is now being executed in the computer 40, instead of a dedicated controller 12 having I/O modules attached thereto, the input and output blocks of the control modules 24 must be set to simulate operation of attached devices, such as field devices or input/output modules. This can be
- 10 accomplished in the same manner as simulation was performed in the system of Fig. 1, wherein a user relied on the simulation capabilities already provided by or within the control modules 24 to set the input and output parameters thereof. For example, in the DeltaV controller system, a user may manually set the simulate parameters of the input/output function blocks in process control modules 24
- 15 designed for a controller or a field device or may provide specific simulation process control modules which interface with the input/output blocks of the control modules 24 within the computer 40 to respond to outputs produced by these control modules and to generate inputs for the control modules 24. Alternatively, or in conjunction, a simulation application 32 may be run in the computer 40 to interact
- 20 with the control modules 24 to thereby simulate actual process functions. In this case, access parameters, such as input/output simulation parameters within the process control modules 24 or the user interfaces 27 may be supported through use of the well-known OPC interface, making it is possible to execute and check out applications that normally run in the workstation 18 (such as the viewing application
- 25 26), the configurations database 30, the controller 12 (such as the controller application 23) or the field devices using an OPC interface. For example, an OPC interface may be developed to communicate between simulation software such as the HYSYS product and the controller application, such as the DeltaV controller application. Of course, other interfaces and simulation applications could be used as
- 30 well. Furthermore, by installing the controller application 23 on the computer 40, the

debug tools provided by, for example, C++ or other programming environments, may be used to trouble shoot any of the software on the computer 40, such as the control modules 24 or the user interfaces 27.

As will be understood, the controller application 23 (and the process control modules 24 thereof) now communicate with the viewing application 26, the simulation application 36, the database configuration application 32 and any other desired applications, such as advanced process control and diagnostic applications, within the computer 40, and not via an external data highway such as that of Fig. 1.

Using the integrated system of Fig. 2, the different applications and modules stored and executed within different hardware devices in a distributed process control system may be implemented in a single, stand-alone computer to enable configuration or testing of, for example, the process control modules 24, the user interfaces 27, or to enable training of a user or operator in a simulation environment without the need for dedicated hardware, such a controller 12, a field device 15 or the configuration database 30. In this manner, design, testing and training associated with these components can be performed before any dedicated distributed process control system hardware is purchased or becomes available. Furthermore, the process control modules 24 and the user interfaces 26, once tested, can be assigned and downloaded to a controller, a field device (such as a Fieldbus field device) or a different workstation to be used during the runtime of an actual distributed process system without further modification because, in the preferred embodiment, these components are designed to run on an open operating system having a communication interface that enables these components to be run on any device and to communicate with components on different devices. Thus, these software components are not limited to being run on a particular piece of dedicated hardware, such as the controller 12 or a field device 15. This is particularly true in the case of the DeltaV controller software which was initially designed to run on any open platform and to provide communications to other software components in other hardware devices, and is not limited to running or being executed on specific hardware associated with a specific vendor.

06005/35628A 5 00 20 00 00 00

One benefit of the above-described approach of integrating the process control software with the viewing software and the simulation software on a single PC or other computer is the dramatic reduction in the hardware required for configuration development, controller and operator interface checkout, and operator training. In 5 particular, the process control modules 24 being developed for a distributed control system may be assigned to the computer 40 and executed as though they were in a dedicated controller or a field device without the actual controller being present. In fact, using the simulation capability of input/output function blocks, the process control modules 24 may be created, stored and executed within the computer 40 to 10 simulate a process and to thereby enable control system checkout and operator training before any dedicated hardware is purchased or installed.

Because the controller application 23 is executed by the computer 40 and is not connected to or controlling actual field devices in a process, the controller application 23 can be set or configured to enable the process control modules 24 to be 15 executed at speeds other than the real-time speed at which these modules are to be executed in a dedicated controller 12 or one of the field devices 15 during runtime. For example, in the DeltaV system, each of the control modules 24 includes a parameter defining the execution rate of that module during runtime, i.e., how often the control module is to be executed in a definite time period. When run in the 20 computer 40, however, the controller application 23 can be configured to execute the process control modules (or the function blocks thereof) faster or slower than the real-time rate because process control operations are only being simulated in the computer 40 and are not actually being performed.

Generally speaking, executing the process control modules faster than real- 25 time is beneficial in training simulations and in some design applications. For example, in some complex processes, changes to set points and other operator controlled parameters may not cause a noticeable affect to the process for hours. In these cases, it is desirable to speed up the simulated response time to, for example, a minute or two, for both training and design purposes. Slowing down the execution 30 speed of the process control modules 24 is desirable in, for example, some debugging

procedures to enable the designer to see the inner workings of the modules at a slower rate. Likewise, some high fidelity simulation programs may take so much processing power (time) of the CPU 42 that it is impossible to run the process control modules 24 at the real-time speed, especially in conjunction with the controller application 23 and

5 the viewing application 26. In these cases, it is desirable to reduce the execution speed of the control modules 24 to enable complete simulation.

Of course, the control application may enable a user to define or select the rate at which the control modules 24 are to be executed, or to define an execution speed multiplier, such as two times, five times, one-third, etc. to be used by the controller

10 application in determining how fast to execute the blocks within the process control modules 24. It is preferable to have the capability of running control modules faster or slower than real-time automatically disabled when the controller application is executed by a dedicated controller 12 or a field device 15 to prevent using an incorrect execution speed during actual runtime of the process. Of course, other types of

15 controller applications may define real-time execution speeds in other manners and, thus, changing the execution rate in the personal computer 40 may be performed using any other desired technique dictated by the specific configuration of the controller application.

Still further, the controller application 23 stored in the computer 40 may be

20 used to provide an advanced control platform that interfaces with other control platforms within known distributed control systems. Referring, for example, to Fig. 3, the computer 40 and, in particular, the controller application 23, may be connected via a communication line 48 (which may be a data highway) to a controller 50, which may be a different type of controller or may execute a different controller application,

25 such as one provided by a different process controller manufacturer. As illustrated in Fig. 3, the controller 50 may be connected via the communication line 48 to a workstation 52 that runs applications for viewing data generated by the controller 50, changing the process control modules or other software within the controller 50 and other applications, all associated with the controller software executed by the

controller 50. Likewise, the controller 50 may be connected to and control actual field devices (not shown) within a process.

- The controller application 23 of Fig. 3 communicates with the controller 50 to obtain any desired data and, in some cases, to provide commands to change the 5 operation of the controller 50. In this manner, advanced functionality of the controller, viewing, database configuration or other applications, such as diagnostic and trending applications, within the computer 40 may be used in conjunction with controller applications from other vendors.

- To enable this advanced control, the controller application 23 may use an OPC 10 interface specifically developed to interface between the configuration application 23 and the foreign system running on the controller 50. Alternatively, the configuration application 23 may utilize the capabilities of historical interface applications such as PI, which generally entails communicating through a data historian coupled to the controller 50, to thereby interface to foreign or different controller systems. Of 15 course, any other desired interface may be used as well. In a preferred embodiment in which both the controller application 23 and the controller 50 support an OPC interface, the OPC Mirror shareware provided by Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc. may be used to tie the OPC servers together to thereby provide communication between the two OPC interfaces over, for example, the line 48.

- In any case, specific control modules known as shadow function blocks can be 20 provided within the process control modules 24 of the computer 40 and can be used to map control parameters or values between the protocol used by the application 23 and that used by the controller 50. Generally speaking, shadow function blocks are function blocks that operate within the protocol used by the controller application 25 23 to provide data to and to accept commands and data from other function blocks within that system. Instead of performing actual functions, the shadow function blocks mirror the state of and operate as an interface to external function blocks or other software elements within the process controller 50. More particularly, a shadow function block may use an OPC, PI or other interface to periodically 30 communicate with the process controller 50 to obtain data or parameter values

reflecting actual values within the process control system being controlled by the controller 50 and then store this data in a manner that is accessible by or available to other function blocks or process control modules within the controller application 23 via the protocol used by the controller application 23. The shadow function

5 blocks also receive commands or data from other function blocks executed by the controller application 23 and provide these commands or data to an associated external function block or other software element within the process controller 50 using an OPC, PI or other interface. In this manner, shadow function blocks reflect the current state of a logical unit within the control system controlled by the

10 controller 50 and send commands and other data generated by the controller application 23 within the computer 40 to the controller 50 to thereby modify that system. The details concerning the implementation of a shadow function block are not the subject of the present invention but are described in detail in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/151,084 entitled "A Shadow Function Block Interface For

15 Use in a Process Control Network," filed September 10, 1998, which is assigned to the assignee of the present invention, the disclosure of which is hereby expressly incorporated by reference herein.

In any event, once provided to the controller application 23 via the interface, data can be used by any of the process modules 24, by the viewing application 26 and

20 the associated user interfaces 27, or by other applications, such as advanced applications associated with the controller application 23. These advanced applications may take any form, for example, model predictive control applications, neural network applications, and alarming, inspection and diagnostic applications like the diagnostic application described in U.S. Patent Application Serial Number

25 09/256,585, entitled "Diagnostics in a Process Control System", filed February 22, 1999, which is assigned to the assignee of the present invention and the disclosure of which is hereby expressly incorporated by reference herein. This ability to layer the standard software of a control system onto another control system for the implementation of advanced control and monitoring is a capability that is new to the

30 process control industry.

- When implemented, any of the software described herein may be stored in any computer readable memory such as on a magnetic disk, a laser disk, or other storage medium, in a RAM or ROM of a computer or processor, etc. Likewise, this software may be delivered to a user, a process control system or the computer
- 5 40 via any known or desired delivery method including, for example, on a computer readable disk or other transportable computer storage mechanism or over a communication channel such as a telephone line, the Internet, the World Wide Web, any other local area network or wide area network, etc. (which delivery is viewed as being the same as or interchangeable with providing such software via a
- 10 45 transportable storage medium). Furthermore, this software may be provided directly without modulation or may be modulated using any suitable modulation carrier wave before being transmitted over a communication channel. Also, the terms workstation, computer, laptop, etc. are all used interchangeably herein to indicate any type of processing or computer device.
- 15 Thus, while the present invention has been described with reference to specific examples, which are intended to be illustrative only and not to be limiting of the invention, it will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art that changes, additions or deletions may be made to the disclosed embodiments without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

CLAIMSWhat is claimed is:

1. An apparatus adapted to be used with a distributed process control system having a user workstation remotely located from a distributed controller that
5 controls one or more field devices using control modules, the apparatus comprising:
a computer having a memory and a processing unit;
a configuration application stored in the memory of the computer and
adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, wherein the
configuration application is capable of being executed on the user workstation to
10 create control modules for execution by the distributed controller; and
a controller application stored in the memory of the computer and adapted to
be executed on the processing unit of the computer, wherein the controller
application is further adapted to be executed on the distributed controller to
implement one of the control modules during operation of the distributed process
15 control system;
wherein the configuration application, when executed on the computer, is
further adapted to create a first control module capable of being used by the
distributed controller within the distributed process control system and wherein the
controller application is adapted to cause execution of the first control module
20 within the computer to simulate operation of the distributed process control system.
2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the configuration application is
adapted to create a user interface for use in displaying information to a user, and
further including a viewing application stored in the memory of the computer and
adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, wherein the viewing
25 application is adapted to use the user interface to display information pertaining to
the first control module to a user.

3. The apparatus of claim 1, further including a configuration database application stored in the memory of the computer and adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, wherein the configuration database application is adapted to communicate with the controller application within the computer to
5 manage a configuration database.
4. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the controller application includes an execution rate parameter specifying the rate of execution of the first control module within the computer.
5. The apparatus of claim 4, wherein the execution rate parameter can
10 be set to be greater than or less than a real time execution rate of the first control module when the first control module is executed within the distributed controller of the distributed process control system.
6. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the configuration application is adapted to create a control module capable of being executed within the distributed
15 controller during operation of the distributed process control system.
7. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the configuration application is adapted to create a control module capable of being executed within one of the field devices communicatively connected to the distributed controller during the operation of the distributed process control system.
- 20 8. The apparatus of claim 1, further including a simulation application stored in the memory of the computer and adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, wherein the simulation application is adapted to communicate with the controller application within the computer to simulate the operation of the distributed process control system.

9. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the controller application is adapted to communicate with the field devices through an input/output device when the controller application is executed within the distributed controller.
10. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the controller application is capable of communicating with a further controller that is of a different type than the distributed controller of the distributed process control system.
11. The apparatus of claim 10, further including a viewing application stored in the memory of the computer and adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, wherein the viewing application is adapted to communicate with the controller application and to use a user interface to display information sent from the further controller.

2010 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

12. A method of simulating a distributed process control system having a user workstation remotely located from a distributed controller which controls one or more field devices using control modules, wherein the user workstation is adapted to store and execute a configuration application used to create the control
5 modules for execution by the distributed controller and wherein the distributed controller is adapted to store and execute a controller application to control a process using the control modules during operation of the distributed process control system, the method comprising the steps of:
storing the configuration application in a first computer having a memory
10 and a processing unit;
storing the controller application in the memory of the first computer;
executing the configuration application on the first computer to create a first control module adapted to be used by the distributed controller within the distributed process control system; and
15 executing the controller application on the first computer to cause execution of the first control module within the first computer to thereby simulate operation of the distributed process control system.

13. The method of claim 12, further including the steps of executing the configuration application to create a user interface for use in displaying information
20 to a user, storing a viewing application in the memory of the first computer and executing the viewing application on the first computer to display information pertaining to the first control module to a user on a display associated with the first computer using the user interface.

14. The method of claim 12, further including the steps of storing a configuration database application in the memory of the first computer and
25 executing the configuration database application on the first computer so that the configuration database application communicates with the controller application within the first computer to manage a configuration database.

15. The method of claim 12, wherein the step of executing the controller application includes the step of specifying an execution rate for the first control module when executing the first control module within the first computer.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein the step of executing the controller application includes the step of executing the first control module at an execution rate that is greater than or less than a real time execution rate of the first control module when the first control module is executed within the distributed controller of the distributed process control system.

17. The method of claim 12, wherein the step of executing the configuration application includes the step of creating a control module capable of being executed within one of the field devices communicatively connected to the distributed controller during the operation of the distributed process control system.

18. The method of claim 12, further including the steps of storing a simulation application in the memory of the first computer and executing the simulation application on the first computer to communicate with the controller application within the first computer to simulate the operation of the distributed process control system.

06005/35628A-15

19. An apparatus adapted to be used in conjunction with a distributed process control system having a user workstation remotely located from a distributed controller that controls one or more field devices using control modules, the apparatus comprising:

- 5 a computer having a memory and a processing unit;
- a display connected to the computer;
- a controller application stored in the memory of the computer and adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, wherein the controller application is adapted to be executed on the distributed controller to implement a
- 10 control module during operation of the distributed process control system and wherein the controller application is capable of communicating with a further controller that is of a different type than the distributed controller of the distributed process control system; and
- 15 a viewing application stored in the memory of the computer and adapted to be executed on the processing unit of the computer, wherein the viewing application is adapted to communicate with the controller application and to use the display to display information sent from the further controller.

20. The apparatus of claim 19, further including an interface connected between the further controller and the controller application.

20 21. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein the interface is an OPC interface.

**INTEGRATING DISTRIBUTED PROCESS CONTROL
SYSTEM FUNCTIONALITY ON A SINGLE COMPUTER**

ABSTRACT OF THE INVENTION

- An apparatus is adapted to be used to create software and programming
- 5 instructions for a distributed process control system having a user workstation
remotely located from a distributed controller that controls one or more field
devices using control modules. The apparatus includes a computer having a
memory and a processing unit as well as a configuration application and a controller
application stored on the computer memory to be executed on the processor. The
- 10 configuration application is further capable of being executed on the user
workstation of the distributed process control system to create the control modules
for execution by the distributed controller while the controller application is adapted
to be executed on the distributed controller to implement one of the control modules
during operation of the distributed process control system. In this system, the
- 15 configuration application, when run on the computer, creates a first control module
capable of being used by the distributed controller within the distributed process
control system and the controller application causes execution of the first control
module within the computer to thereby simulate operation of the distributed process
control system. The use of this system enables the simulation and testing of
- 20 distributed process control system software and control modules without the use of
the hardware, e.g., the distributed process controller and field devices, which will
ultimately run that software.




FIG. 1

PRIOR ART




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe that I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "**Integrating Distributed Process Control System Functionality on a Single Computer**," the specification of which is attached hereto. I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment(s) referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:

			Priority Claimed
(Application Serial Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

60/132,780	06/05/99
(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) or PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented, Pending or Abandoned)
-----------------------------	------------------------	---

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. §1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: I hereby appoint as my attorneys, with full powers of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Alvin D. Shulman (19,412)
Allen H. Gerstein (22,218)
Nate F. Scarpelli (22,320)
Edward M. O'Toole (22,477)
Michael F. Borun (25,447)
Trevor B. Joike (25,542)
Kevin D. Hogg (31,839)


Carl E. Moore, Jr. (26,487)
Richard H. Anderson (26,526)
Patrick D. Ertel (26,877)
James P. Zeller (28,491)
William E. McCracken (30,195)
Richard A. Schnurr (30,890)
Anthony Nimmo (30,920)


Christine A. Dudzik (31,245)
Jeffrey S. Sharp (31,879)
Martin J. Hirsch (32,237)
James J. Napoli (32,361)
Richard M. La Barge (32,254)
Li-Hsien Rin-Laures, M.D. (33,547)
Dale A. Kubly (27,569)


Douglass C. Hochstetler (33,710)
Robert M. Gerstein (34,824)
David W. Clough (36,107)
Richard A. Brandon (37,051)
Roger A. Heppermann (37,641)
David A. Gass (38,153)
Michael L. Sheldon (32,001)

Send correspondence to: Roger A. Heppermann

FIRM NAME	PHONE NO.	STREET	CITY & STATE	ZIP CODE
Marshall, O'Toole, Gerstein, Murray & Borun	312-474-6300	6300 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive	Chicago, Illinois	60606-6402

First Inventor Mark Nixon	Citizenship Canada
Residence Address - Street 1503 Blackjack Drive	Post Office Address - Street 1503 Blackjack Drive
City (Zip) Round Rock (78681)	City (Zip) Round Rock (78681)
State or Country Texas	State or Country Texas
Date Feb 11, 2000	Signature 

Second Joint Inventor, if any Terrence L. Blevins	Citizenship United States
Residence Address - Street 3801 Carmel Drive	Post Office Address - Street 3801 Carmel Drive
City (Zip) Round Rock (78681)	City (Zip) Round Rock (78681)
State or Country Texas	State or Country Texas
Date Feb 11, 2000	Signature 

Third Joint Inventor, if any Wilhelm K. Wojszni	Citizenship United States
Residence Address - Street 17004 Hillside Drive	Post Office Address - Street 17004 Hillside Drive
City (Zip) Round Rock (78681)	City (Zip) Round Rock (78681)
State or Country Texas	State or Country Texas
Date Feb. 11, 2000	Signature 

APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES

37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.56(a).

35 U.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S.C. 103. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBFVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER (Applicable Portion)

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 112. SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.